

<https://dx.doi.org/10.4314/gjas.v54i1.4>

Phytoremediation potential of two maize varieties cultivated on metal-particulate-contaminated soil

M. B. ADEWOLE*, B. O. OYEBANJI & K. IGBEKELE

(M.B.A & K.I.: *Institute of Ecology and Environmental Studies, Obafemi Awolowo University, Ile-Ife, Nigeria*; B.O.O.: *Department of Animal Science, Obafemi Awolowo University, Ile-Ife, Nigeria*)

*Corresponding author's email: mbadewole@gmail.com/ badewole@oauife.edu.ng

ABSTRACT

Arbitrary cultivation of vacant land, even within the periphery of cottage industries in developing countries, particularly in Nigeria, is on the increase. Two maize varieties [ART98/SW1 (protein) and BR-9928-DMR-SR-Y (non-protein)] were planted within the vicinity of a metal recycling plant in Ile-Ife, Nigeria to assess the metal removal potentials of these maize cultivars. The experiment was conducted in two locations, each per maize variety and laid out in a randomised complete block design. Two biochars produced from maize stover and *Milicia exelsa*, each at 10 t ha⁻¹ were used as soil amendments. Metal uptake by the two maize varieties was in the order: root > shoot > grain, with protein maize having higher bioconcentration factors: Fe 86.82, Zn 1.19, Cu 4.53, Mn 2.42 and Pb 0.15, and hence, a pathway through which animals, including humans could ingest these metals. It was concluded that maize crop is a bioaccumulator of metals in soil, and protein maize cultivar removes more metals than non-protein maize.

Keywords: Biochar; farm waste; heavy metal; maize; particulate matter; remediation.

Original scientific paper. Received 15 Apr 2018; revised 29 May 2019

Introduction

Presently in Nigeria, peri-urban agriculture is increasing. This trend in agri-business enhances the cultivation of many vacant lands with arable crops such as cereals and vegetables. Many of such lands are within the vicinity of industries whose surrounding soils are contaminated with the untreated wastewater and particulate matter they generate, and which contain heavy metals in their organic or inorganic forms. Other sources of heavy metals in soil include mining, repeated application of sewage sludge, municipal wastes and impurities in fertilizers (Wang *et al.*, 2003). Heavy metals

are extremely persistent in the soil environment because they are not biodegradable and may not be broken down by chemical methods (Adewole *et al.*, 2009) or through thermal processes; as a result, their accumulation could reach toxic levels (Bohn *et al.*, 1985).

Although, trace amounts of these heavy metals such as Selenium (Se), Arsenic (As), Chromium (Cr), Copper (Cu) and Zinc (Zn) are required by living organisms, but become extremely toxic above certain threshold levels (Panda & Choudhury, 2005) and very detrimental to human health (Amdur *et al.*, 1991; Pirkle *et al.*, 1998). Series of these associated risks to

cultivating heavy metal-contaminated soil to food crops, therefore requires reclamation of such soils using any of the environment-friendly biotechnology approaches. Phytoremediation is one of the promising methods for reclamation of soils contaminated with toxic metals by using hyperaccumulator plants (Cui *et al.*, 2007). Maize (*Zea mays*) (Wang *et al.*, 2007), oat (*Avena sativa*) (Tanhuanpaa *et al.*, 2007), *Viola baoshanensis* (Liu *et al.*, 2004; Zhuang *et al.*, 2007), sunflower (*Helianthus annuus*) (Tandy *et al.*, 2006) and rice (*Oryza sativa*) (Murakami *et al.*, 2007) are common and important agricultural crops worldwide that have been used in many studies of metal pollution.

The capability of the plant to uptake the contaminants, ability of the plant to survive in the contaminated soil and bioavailability of the contaminants in the soil are some of the limiting factors which influence phytoremediation efficiency. Phytoremediation can be enhanced either by increasing the capability of contaminant uptake of the plant or by amending the soil to increase the bioavailability of the contaminants. Some studies aimed at enhancement of phytoremediation by improving the contaminant mobility and bioavailability in soils by adding suitable chelating agents or surfactants (Prasad & Freitas, 2003; Yanshan *et al.*, 2006). Synthetic chelating agents such as ethylene diamine tetra acetate (EDTA) have been used to artificially enhance the solubility of heavy metals from the soil solid phase to the soil solution and thus increase heavy metal phyto availability (Luo *et al.*, 2007; Epelde *et al.*, 2008). Addition of chelating agents to soil may promote root uptake and translocation from the roots to harvestable above-ground parts of crops with high biomass production (Huang *et al.*, 1997). But in such cases, there is a possibility of contamination of the soil and groundwater by the chemicals used for mobilizing the contami-

nants. Also, the mobilized contaminants can migrate to the groundwater, thus contaminating the groundwater and spreading the contamination. Biomass improvement is another way of improving phytoremediation. By improving the growth response, the water and nutrient uptake of the plants will be increased and this could lead to increased contaminant uptake.

Biochar, a pyrolysed carbon-rich product of biomass (Lehmann *et al.*, 2011) has the potential to increase soil nutrients (Masulili *et al.*, 2010) and also has strong adsorption capacity (Steiner *et al.*, 2008) and are mostly alkaline (Ilesanmi *et al.*, 2016). Presently in Nigeria, the cultivation of improved varieties of maize is increasing. A lot of contaminated soils are therefore being inadvertently put into maize cultivation, despite the inherent metal removal potential of maize from contaminated soils. However, there is dearth of information on the remedial and bio-accumulating potential of protein (ART98/SW1) and non-protein (BR-9928-DMR-SR-Y) maize cultivars when they are cultivated on metal-contaminated soil with biochars as soil enhancers, hence this study.

Materials and methods

Experimental location, design and agronomic practices

This was a field study conducted in the late maize cropping season (August to November) of 2015 on an *Ultisols* and Iwo series farmland (07° 29.714'N, 004° 28.658'E and 262 m above sea level) within the vicinity of a Metal Recycling Plant, Ile-Ife, Nigeria. Iron and steel scraps that could have constituted environmental hazards are the major raw materials being recycled by this recycling plant (Owoade *et al.*, 2013) and this study location was purposively chosen. The experimental plot was manually cleared twice and the topsoil loosened using a hand-held hoe. Three representative compos-

ite soil samples for pre-cropped soil test to the depth 0-15 cm were taken using soil auger. The soil samples were air-dried, sieved using 2.0 mm mesh and stored for laboratory analysis.

The experimental plot size was 11.0 m × 15.0 m which was further divided into four equal block sizes of 11.0 m × 3.0 m with an alley of 1.0 m between blocks and 1.0 m within blocks. Each of the blocks was divided into four equal sub-plots, each measuring 2 m × 3 m to give a total of 16 sub-plots arranged in a randomized complete block design for each maize cultivar. The viable seeds of the two maize varieties [ART98/SW1 (protein maize) and BR-9928-DMR-SR-Y (non-protein maize)] obtained from the Institute of Agricultural Research and Training (IAR & T), Ibadan, Nigeria were sown at three seeds per hill using 75 cm × 50 cm planting distance to the depth of 3 cm. The two biochars made from maize stover and *Milicia exelsa* were applied at planting as soil amendments. The treatments were made up of the maize with 100% maize stover (MS), 100% *M. exelsa* (ME), 50% MS + 50% ME, and each at the rate of ten tonnes per hectare as treatments. Zero biochar application served as control. The maize seedlings were thinned to two stands per hole at 2 weeks after sowing (WAS) and all the plots were manually weeded using hand-held hoe thrice at 2, 5 and 7 WAS. The maize grains were manually harvested at 12 WAS, sun-dried, shelled and stored for laboratory analysis.

Sample collection, preparation and laboratory analyses

Post-cropped composite soil samples to the depth 0-15 cm were taken using soil auger from each of the plots, air-dried and sieved using 2.0 mm mesh for selected soil properties determination. Also, six of the maize plants in each plot that had earlier been randomly se-

lected and tagged were manually cut using a clean and sharp kitchen knife and their roots were carefully dug up using a hand-held hoe and thereafter rinsed with deionised water to remove dirt. The plant tissues were put in separate envelopes, oven-dried at 70°C to a constant weight, milled using stainless-steel Thomas milling machine and sieved using 0.5 mm mesh for the determination of heavy metal concentrations in the roots, shoots and grains of the maize crop.

Selected soil physical and chemical properties were determined using standard methods as contained in Page *et al.* (1982). Soil pH was potentiometrically determined in a 1:1 soil: 1M KCl using the Dwyer model WPH1 waterproof pH tester. Particle size distribution was determined using the hydrometer method and 5% sodium hexametaphosphate as the dispersing agent. For extraction for heavy metals in the soil samples, 5 ml of the mixture of concentrated HNO₃ and HClO₄ in the ratio 2:1, and 5 ml of concentrated H₂SO₄ was used to digest 0.5 g of each soil sample for 2 h at 150°C. The soil digest was allowed to cool and thereafter diluted with distilled water to make up to 25 ml. Concentrations of Mn, Ni, Cu, Zn, Fe, Cd and Pb in the soil extracts were read on Buck Scientific 210/211 VGP Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometer (AAS) (East Norwalk, Connecticut, USA). For the sieved plant tissues, 0.5 g each was digested using 5 ml of the mixture of concentrated HNO₃ and HClO₄ in the ratio 2:1, and 5 ml of concentrated H₂SO₄, allowed to cool and each diluted with distilled water to make up to 25 ml. Concentrations of Mn, Ni, Cu, Zn, Fe, Cd and Pb in each plant extracts were read on AAS.

Data analyses

Bioconcentration factor (BCF) was determined through the ratio of the concentration of met-

al in the roots to that in the soil (Yoon *et al.*, 2006).

$$BCF = [M]_{\text{roots}} / [M]_{\text{soil}} \quad [1]$$

Where:

$[M]_{\text{roots}}$ = concentration of the metal in the roots

$[M]_{\text{soil}}$ = concentration of the metal in the soil

BCF was used to estimate the plant's ability to accumulate metal in the roots so as to determine the potential of the plants for phytoremediation (Yoon *et al.*, 2006).

Translocation factor (TF) was calculated as the ratio of metal concentration in the shoots to metal concentration in the roots (Yoon *et al.*, 2006).

$$TF = [M]_{\text{shoots}} / [M]_{\text{roots}} \quad [2]$$

Where:

$[M]_{\text{shoots}}$ = metal concentration in the shoots

$[M]_{\text{roots}}$ = metal concentration in the roots

TF was used to estimate the plant's ability to translocate metals from the roots to above-ground parts. Also, a one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was conducted to determine the effects of biochar type on the metal concentrations in each of the maize plant's parts (roots, shoot and grains). Duncan's Multiple Range Test (SAS version 9.1) at 95% probability level was used to test for significant differences between individual means of metal concentrations.

Results and discussion

The pre-cropped soil results are presented in Table 1. The soil pH of 5.32 in 1:1 soil-H₂O ratio was an indication that the soil was acidic. Also, the particle size proportion of sand 754.00, silt 130.00 and clay 116.00 mg kg⁻¹ obtained indicated a loamy sand soil texture. Concentrations of heavy metals: Fe, Zn, Cu, Ni, Mn, Pb and Cd were 2133.80, 787.75, 59.81, 31.80, 455.85, 36.44 and 2.00 mg kg⁻¹

respectively. These values were comparable to those obtained by Adeyeye *et al.* (2016) who had earlier worked within the same study location while measuring the particulate matter in the ambient air.

Relatively high soil acidity of the study site will enhance the solubility and mobility of these metals. Generally, soluble and mobile soil nutrients are taken up by the roots of the growing plants by interception and osmotic pull approach. In addition to plant nutrients requirement, these metals are also picked up by maize. The concentration of metals in the test crops as influenced by biochar applications are presented in Tables 2 and 3. Except for Fe, Ni and Mn, roots of protein maize from the control plots had highest concentrations of Zn 307.60, Cu 44.47 and Pb 23.06 mg g⁻¹. Comparable but lower values were obtained in the roots of non-protein maize. Many of these soluble and positively charged cations are adsorbed to the biochar surface. Mohan *et al.* (2007) and Steiner *et al.* (2008) observed a similar attribute of biochars in metal adsorption capability.

From the results, the concentration of metals in the roots, shoots and grains of the two maize varieties cultivated on the metal-contaminated soil, it was observed that metals differed considerably in uptake from each other with Fe having the highest uptake concentration values. Lead was only detected in roots while Cd was not detected in any of the maize plants studied. Varietal differences in maize and differences in the Chemistry of these metals could be the cause. This observation was comparable to Khairul *et al.* (2015) who among other metals studied, observed the highest accumulation of Fe but lowest for Cu in maize crops planted on metal-contaminated soil.

TABLE 1
Physical and chemical properties of pre-cropped soil

<i>Property</i>	<i>Value</i>
Soil pH (1: 1 soil: H ₂ O)	5.32
Organic C (g kg ⁻¹)	9.40
Total N (g kg ⁻¹)	1.00
Heavy metals (mg kg ⁻¹)	
Fe	2133.80
Zn	787.75
Cu	59.81
Ni	31.80
Mn	455.85
Pb	36.44
Cd	2.00
Particle size (g kg ⁻¹)	
Sand	754.00
Silt	130.00
Clay	116.00
Soil texture	Loamy Sand

TABLE 2
Concentrations of metals in protein maize as influenced by different treatments of biochars

<i>Metal</i>	<i>Protein maize</i>	<i>Treatments (mg g⁻¹)</i>			
		<i>A</i>	<i>B</i>	<i>AB</i>	<i>C</i>
Fe	Root	10043.00a	12558.00a	10662.00a	6102.00b
	Shoot	159.10a	199.30a	168.90a	163.19a
	Grain	54.47b	65.61b	58.10b	89.09a
Zn	Root	206.00b	294.30a	235.40b	307.60a
	Shoot	161.40d	231.00a	184.60c	226.30b
	Grain	39.69c	57.69ab	46.00bc	61.39a
Cu	Root	20.45a	31.71a	19.54a	44.47a
	Shoot	1.63b	2.57ab	1.54b	2.73a
	Grain	2.36a	3.64a	2.39a	3.57a
Ni	Root	13.27b	19.73a	13.21b	14.60b
	Shoot	13.76b	18.35b	13.78b	24.63a
	Grain	4.37c	5.63b	4.15c	24.45a

Mn	Root	236.30a	294.20a	228.90a	290.30a
	Shoot	24.60a	30.18a	24.12a	29.54a
	Grain	7.05bc	8.75a	6.78c	7.48b
Pb	Root	9.25b	14.68b	8.96b	23.06a
	Shoot	nd	nd	nd	nd
	Grain	nd	nd	nd	nd
Cd	Root	nd	nd	nd	nd
	Shoot	nd	nd	nd	nd
	Grain	nd	nd	nd	nd

Means with the same letters in each row are not significantly different by Duncan's Multiple Range Test at $p < 0.05$. Legend: A = 100% maize stover; B = 100% *Milicia exelsa*; AB = maize stover 50% + 50% *Milicia exelsa*; C = Control; nd = not detected

TABLE 3
Concentrations of metals in non-protein maize as influenced by different treatments of biochars

Metal	Non-protein maize	Treatments (mg g ⁻¹)			
		A	B	AB	C
Fe	Root	5965.00a	5735.00c	5847.00b	2989.00d
	Shoot	221.30a	212.50a	216.60a	223.30a
	Grain	61.15a	58.77c	59.78b	62.09a
Zn	Root	225.10a	216.90b	231.10a	233.10a
	Shoot	264.70a	254.50a	269.60a	265.50a
	Grain	67.18a	64.54a	68.42a	47.40b
Cu	Root	34.17a	28.43b	30.42ab	34.20a
	Shoot	5.07a	4.24a	4.52a	3.49a
	Grain	2.05b	1.73c	1.83c	2.44a
Ni	Root	18.37a	15.31a	16.26a	19.07a
	Shoot	20.41b	17.02c	18.03bc	22.15a
	Grain	20.60a	17.34c	18.40b	10.43d
Mn	Root	253.30a	219.20b	241.30a	123.30c
	Shoot	56.48a	50.53a	55.22a	49.41a
	Grain	10.14a	8.76ab	9.67a	7.21b
Pb	Root	nd	nd	nd	nd
	Shoot	nd	nd	nd	nd
	Grain	nd	nd	nd	nd
Cd	Root	nd	nd	nd	nd
	Shoot	nd	nd	nd	nd
	Grain	nd	nd	nd	nd

Means with the same letters in each row are not significantly different by Duncan's Multiple Range Test at $p < 0.05$. Legend: A = 100% maize stover; B = 100% *Milicia exelsa*; AB = maize stover 50% + 50% *Milicia exelsa*; C = Control; nd = not detected

Translocation and bioconcentration factors of selected metals for the two maize varieties are presented in Table 4. Only Ni had translocation factor (TF) greater than 1 for protein maize, while Zn and Ni had TF greater than 1 for non-protein maize variety. This showed that the two maize varieties had better ability to bioaccumulate metals from soil to the root than translocating them from root to the shoot of the maize plant. The implication of this is maize roots are more active in metal extraction from metal-contaminated soils than other plant parts (Cho-Ruk *et al.*, 2006). Except for Zn with bioconcentration factor (BCF) 0.87 in non-protein maize, other metals had BCF greater than 1 in the two maize varieties, though protein maize values were greater. Bioconcentration factor was not estimated for Cd in protein maize and for Pb and Cd in non-protein maize as the metals were not detected in the roots. Hence, the two maize cultivars have bioaccumulating potentials. Yoon *et al.* (2006) earlier observed that plants exhibiting BCF values greater than 1 are suitable for phytoextraction. Metal concentrations in the post-cropped soils are presented in Table 5. The metals had lower values in the post-cropped soil than the pre-cropped, thus revealing the phytoextraction ability of the test crop. Generally, the Fe, Zn, Cu, Ni and Mn concentrations in the maize grains were lower when compared to the roots and shoots in both protein and non-protein maize varieties when biochars were applied than the control. Lima *et al.* (2014) reported that key biochar properties such as surface area, pH, ash and carbon contents can be affected by post-treatments and thus enhance biochars' ability to immobilise heavy metals. The metal uptake in both maize varieties was in the order: root > shoot > grain.

TABLE 4
Bioconcentration and translocation factors of selected metals for the maize varieties

Metal	Translocation Factor		Bioconcentration Factor	
	Protein	Non-protein	Protein	Non-protein
Fe	0.03	0.05	86.82	59.41
Zn	0.68	1.32	1.19	0.87
Cu	0.08	0.16	4.53	3.75
Ni	1.26	1.34	1.30	1.43
Mn	0.13	0.20	2.42	1.53
Pb	-	-	0.15	-
Cd	-	-	-	-

TABLE 5
Metal concentration (mg kg⁻¹) in the post-cropped soil

Metal	Protein maize	Non-protein maize
Fe	91.23 ± 4.79	81.41 ± 2.47
Zn	244.30 ± 77.02	210.35 ± 78.12
Cu	7.80 ± 1.35	6.25 ± 1.35
Ni	13.75 ± 3.27	9.40 ± 3.12
Mn	107.78 ± 3.00	148 ± 22.13
Pb	110.73 ± 28.03	78.43 ± 19.72
Cd	0.77 ± 0.24	0.30 ± 0.20

Conclusion

The indiscriminate discharge of untreated waste from metal recycling plant contaminates the soil and that arable crops such as maize cultivated on metal-contaminated soil take up these particulates during their growing periods. These crops pose danger to animals, including humans that ingested them. Also, maize crop is a bioaccumulator of metals in soil. The addition of biochar to soil enhanced the uptake of some heavy metals by maize plant cultivated on metal-contaminated soil, and that protein maize cultivar removes more metals than non-protein maize.

Acknowledgements

The financial support for this research by Tertiary Education Trust Fund (TETFUND) through the management of the Obafemi Awolowo University, Ile-Ife, Nigeria is highly appreciated.

REFERENCES

- Adewole, M. B., Adeoye, G. O. & Sridhar, M. K. C.** (2009) Effect of inorganic and organomineral fertilizers on the uptake of selected heavy metals by *Helianthus annuus* L. and *Tithonia diversifolia* (Hemsl.) under greenhouse conditions. *Toxicological and Environmental Chemistry* **91**(5), 963 – 970.
- Adeyeye, M. A., Akeredolu, F. A., Sonibare, J. A., Odekanle, E. L. & Ajala, D. D.** (2016) Analysis of total particulate matter from a secondary steel smelting industry. *Journal of Atmospheric Pollution* **4** (1), 30 – 34.
- Amdur, M. O., Doull, J. & Klaassen, C. D.** (1991) *Toxicology: The basic science of poisons*. Pergamon press, New York, 23, 639 – 643.
- Bohn, H. L., McNeal, B. L. & Connor, G. A. O.** (1985) *Soil Chemistry*, Second Edition, Wiley Publication, New York, USA, pp. 210.
- Cho-Ruk, K., Kurukote, J., Supprung, P. & Vctayasuporn, S.** (2006) Perennial plants in the phytoremediation of lead contaminated soils. *Biotechnology* **5**(1), 1 – 4.
- Cui, S., Zhou, Q. & Chao, L.** (2007) Potential hyper-accumulation of Pb, Zn, Cu and Cd in enduring plants distributed in an old smeltery, northeast China. *Environmental Geology* **51**, 1043 – 1048.
- Epelde, L., Hernandez-Allica, J., Becerril, J. M., Blanco, F. & Garbisu C.** (2008) Effects of chelates on plants and soil microbial community: Comparison of EDTA and EDDS for lead phytoextraction. *Science of the Total Environment* **401**, 21 – 28.
- Huang, J. W., Chen, J., Berti, W. R. & Cunningham, S. D.** (1997) Phytoremediation of lead-contaminated soils: role of synthetic chelates in lead phytoextraction. *Environmental Science and Technology* **31**, 800 – 805.
- Ilesanmi, A. O., Adewole, M. B., Odesola, I. F. & Isichei, A. O.** (2016) Production and characterisation of biochar from different farm wastes. *African Journal of Sustainable Development* **6**(2), 239 – 250.
- Khairul, N. I., Zaida, R. Y., Amelia, M. S., Nadia, R., Nurul, A. M. R., Elmy, N. O., Nor, A. B., Yushazaziah, M. Y., Rapidah, O. & Tengku, F. T. Y.** (2015) Heavy metal concentration (Pb, Cu, Fe, Zn, Ni) in plant parts of *Zea mays* L. cultivated in agricultural area near Alor Gajah, Melaka, Malaysia. *American Journal of Environmental Engineering* **5** (3A), 8 – 12.
- Lehmann, J., Rillig, M. C., Thies, J., Masiello, C. A., Hockaday, W. C. & Crowley, D.** (2011) Biochar effects on soil biota - a review. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* **43**:1812 – 1836.
- Lima, I. M., Boykin, D. L., Klasson, K. T. & Uchimiya, M.** (2014) Influence of post-treatment strategies on the properties of activated chars from broiler manure. *Chemosphere* **95**, 96 – 104.
- Liu, W., Shu, W. S. & Lan, C. Y.** (2004) *Viola baoshanensis*- a plant that hyperaccumulates cadmium. *Chinese Science Bulletin* **49** (1), 29 – 32.
- Luo, C. L., Shen, Z. G. & Li, X. D.** (2007) Plant uptake and the leaching of metals during the hot EDDS-enhanced phytoextraction process. *International Journal of Phytoremediation* **9**, 181 – 196.
- Masulili, A., Utomo, W. H. & Syekhfani, M. S.** (2010) Rice husk biochar for rice based cropping system in acid soil 1. The characteristics of rice husk biochar and its influence on

the properties of acid sulfate soils and rice growth in West Kalimantan, Indonesia. *Journal of Agricultural Science* **2**(1), 39 – 47.

- Mohan, D., Pittman, C. U. J., Breika, M., Smith, F., Yancey, B., Mohammad, J., Steele, P. H., Alexandre-Franco, M. F., Gomez-Serrano, V. & Gong, H.** (2007) Sorption of arsenic, cadmium and lead by chars produced from fast pyrolysis of wood and bark during bio-oil production. *Journal of Colloid Interface Science* **310**, 57 – 73.
- Murakami, M., Ae, N. & Ishikawa S.** (2007) Phyto-extraction of cadmium by rice (*Oryza sativa* L.), soybean (*Glycine max* (L.) Merr.), and maize (*Zea mays* L.). *Environmental Pollution* **145** (1), 96 – 103.
- Owoade, O. K., Jegede, O. O., Ayoola, M. A., Fawole, O. G., Bashiru, M. I., Olise F. S. & Ogundele L. T.** (2013) Concentrations of particulate matter from an iron-smelting plant located along a busy highway in Southwestern Nigeria. *Ife Journal of Science* **15**(1), 31 – 39.
- Page, A. L., Miller, R. H. & Keeney, D. R.** (1982) Methods of Soil Analysis, Part 2, Chemical and microbiological properties. American Society of Agronomy, Inc., Madison, Wisconsin USA, pp. 556.
- Panda, S. K. & Choudhury, S.** (2005) Chromium stress in plants. *Brazilian Journal of Plant Physiology* **17**, 95 – 102.
- Pirkle, J. L., Kanfmann, R. B., Brody, D. J., Hickman, T., Gunter, E. W. & Paschal, D. C.** (1998): Exposure of the US population to Lead, 1991-1994. *Environmental Health Perspectives* **106**(11), 745 – 750.
- Prasad, M. N. V. & Freitas, H. M. D.** (2003) Metal hyper-accumulation of plants-Biodiversity prospecting for phytoremediation technology. *Electronic Journal of Biotechnology* **6**(3), 285 – 321.
- Steiner, C., Glaser, B., Teixeira, W. G., Lehmann, J., Blum, W. E. H. & Zech, W.** (2008) Nitrogen retention and plant uptake on a highly weathered central Amazonian Ferralsol amended with compost and charcoal. *Journal of Plant Nutrition and Soil Science* **171** (6), 893 – 899.
- Tandy, S., Schulin, R. & Nowack, B.** (2006) The influence of EDDS on the uptake of heavy-metals in hydroponically grown sunflowers. *Chemosphere* **62** (9), 1454 – 1463.
- Tanhuanpaa, P., Kalendar, R., Schulman, A. H. & Kiviharju, E.** (2007) A major gene for grain cadmium accumulation in oat (*Avena sativa* L.). *Genome* **50** (6), 588 – 594.
- Wang, H., Kimberley, M. O. & Schlegelmilch, M.** (2003) Biosolids-derived nitrogen mineralization and transformation in forest soils. *Journal of Environmental Quality* **32** (5), 1851 – 1856.
- Wang, M., Zou, J., Duan, X., Jiang, W. & Liu, D.** (2007) Cadmium accumulation and its effects on metal uptake in maize (*Zea mays* L.). *Bioresource Technology* **98** (1), 82 – 88.
- Yanshan, C., Qingren, W., Yiting, D., Haifeng, L. & Peter, C.** (2004) Enhanced uptake of soil Pb and Zn by Indian mustard and winter wheat following combined soil application of elemental sulphur and EDTA. *Plant and Soil* **261** (1&2), 181 – 188.
- Yoon, J., Cao, X., Zhou, Q. & Ma, L. Q.** (2006) Accumulation of Pb, Cu and Zn in native plants growing on a contaminated Florida Site. *Science of the Total Environment* **368** (2), 456 – 464.
- Zhuang, P., Yang, Q. W., Wang, H. B. & Shu, W. S.** (2007) Phytoextraction of heavy metals by eight plant species in the field. *Water, Air, and Soil Pollution* **184** (1-4), 235 – 242.